REMARKS

Claims 1-20 remain in the application for consideration of the Examiner.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections are respectfully requested in light of the above amendments and following remarks.

Claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Claim 13 has been amended, taking into account the helpful comments of the Examiner.

It is respectfully submitted that Claim 13 is in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Turning now to the art rejections, Claims 1-8, 13, and 18-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kikushima in view of Mori; and Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kikushima in view of Mori and Rife.

These rejections are respectively traversed.

It is respectfully submitted that Kikushima does not disclose or suggest the presently claimed invention including the extension generally perpendicular to the mounting plate in a direction opposite to the major surface and extending substantially along the entire length of the mounting plate as defined in independent Claims 1 and 10, albeit defined as an extension member extending generally perpendicular to the mounting plate and extending substantially along the entire length of the mounting plate in independent Claim 13.

The Examiner alleges that Kikushima discloses an extension generally perpendicular to the mounting plate as evidence on page 5 of the Office Action.

However, element 11 of Kikushima does not extend the substantial length of the mounting plate.

Kikushima does not disclose or suggest the presently claimed invention including the heat dissipating fin having an extent in a direction substantially parallel to the mounting plate and spaced therefrom and extending substantially along the entire length of the mounting plate as defined in independent Claim 14.

Whether or not Mori discloses an adhesive flow opening and whether or not one of ordinary skill in the art would consider modifying Kikushima is of no moment since the result in construction would still in no way disclose or suggest the presently claimed invention.

Rife does not disclose or suggest the presently claimed invention including the extension generally perpendicular to the mounting plate in a direction opposite to the first major surface and extending substantially along the entire length of the mounting plate in independent Claims 1, 10, 13, and 18.

Additionally, Rife does not disclose or suggest the presently claimed invention including a heat dissipating fin having an extent in a direction substantially parallel to the mounting space and spaced therefrom and extending substantially along the entire length of the mounting plates as defined in independent Claim 14.

The fins 30 of Rife do not extend the entire length of the device.

Applicants appreciate the indication that Claims 9 and 15-17 are allowed.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

While it is believed that the instant amendment places the application in condition for allowance, should the Examiner have any further comments or suggestions, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned in order to expeditiously resolve any outstanding issues.

To the extent necessary, Applicant petitions for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any fees in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to the deposit account of Texas Instruments Incorporated, Account No. 20-0668.

Respectfully submitted,

W. Daniel Swayze, Jr. Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 34,478

Texas Instruments Incorporated P.O. Box 655474, MS 3999 Dallas, TX 75265 (972) 917-5633

FAX RECEIVED

SEP 0 9 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 4000